

Draft Policy 1. Distribution of AIRS Student Funding

The following amounts are available for years 1 through 4. The amounts escalate over this period.

	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4	
Undergrad	2	16000	4	32000	5	40000	6	48000
Masters	3	36000	4	48000	6	72000	6	72000
Doctoral	2	30000	4	60000	6	90000	6	90000
		82000		140000		200200		210000

There is an additional \$31,500 in Years 3 and 4 for a PDF

Year 1 funding was distributed to the 9 sub-themes on the basis of need and committed engagement of students. Actual distribution was at the discretion of the Sub-theme leaders, to use the funds to most expeditiously lay the groundwork for the remaining years of research for that sub-theme.

Funding for subsequent years will be based on needs to meet the goals of the project (both sub-theme and overall goals of AIRS), and the most expeditious way of using the funds to benefit students in terms of acquiring skills, experiences, and access to experts and facilities. Proposals from individuals or groups within sub-themes will be submitted in writing to the sub-theme leader. The proposals will be discussed within the sub-theme, and may be modified accordingly such that a sub-theme can proposal an integrated group of proposals, or only one integrated proposal. Discussions among members of sub-themes can also take place. Finalized proposals will be posted on the AIRS web-site for access by a review committee and the sub-theme. With access to all proposals, the review committee will decide (with acknowledged difficulty) on the division of this budget so as to best meet AIRS goals of knowledge acquisition, deliverables, and student learning. Collaborators can participate in more than one proposal.

The form for the proposal is shown in the next page. The deadline will be provided by November 20 and will likely be at the end of January or early February.

Proposal: The review committee will consist of:

The Project Director

The 3 members of the Steering Committee

One member of the Ethics, Statistics and Intellectual Property group, who would also ensure that ethics approval was completed for the proposed project.

One additional member to ensure that each theme has two persons on the committee

One student (elected by students?) (non-voting)

One partner (non-voting) if interested and available

One stakeholder (non-voting) if interested and available

The committee will review the proposals and rate them according to the following criteria:

1. productivity given resources over the last year
2. significance for advancing the knowledge goals of the 1. The sub-theme 2 AIRS as a whole
3. availability of students and strengths of students
4. opportunities for students
5. deliverables